

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,258	10/14/2003	Antony Michael Carr	27866/34132B 5084	
4743	7590 07/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	., GERSTEIN & BOR	REDDIG, PETER J		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	THERMOMEEN
J. 100.100, 12 00000				
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/685,258	CARR, ANTONY MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter J. Reddig	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· _ · · · 					
8) Claim(s) 17 and 18 are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
	· 					

Application/Control Number: 10/685,258

Art Unit: 1642

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 18-19 are pending and are currently under prosecution.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to a purified and isolated ATR polypeptide that has lipid kinase activity, said polypeptide encoded by a polypucleotide set out in SEQ ID NO: 1, said polypucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence set out in SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 435, subclass 183.
- II. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to a purified and isolated ATR polypeptide that has lipid kinase activity, said polypeptide encoded by a polynucleotide set out in SEQ ID NO: 3, said polynucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence set out in SEQ ID NO: 4, classified in class 435, subclass 183.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions of Groups I and II are directed to related isolated ATR polypeptide s. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j).

In the instant case, the inventions are distinct because each SEQ ID NO encodes a distinct protein. It is the structural differences engendered by differences in the primary amino acid

Application/Control Number: 10/685,258

Art Unit: 1642

sequence that give each protein its unique function within the cell. It is unlikely that any two

proteins, even those that are very homologous, have the exact same structure and function. For

example, even single amino acid changes in proto-oncogenes can alter protein structure and

function in a way to make them oncogenic. Thus, proteins of Groups I and II are distinct

inventions, .

Furthermore, searching all of the inventions of Groups I and II would invoke a

burdensome search. Although the inventions are classified similarly, classification of subject

matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature

search, particularly relevant in this art, is not coextensive and is much more important in

evaluating the burden of search.

Additionally, the search for all the polypeptides encoded by said polynucleotides, would

invoke a high search burden. Currently, there are approximately eight different databases that

accompany the results of a search of one discrete amino acid or nucleotide sequence and each

result set from a particular database must be carefully considered. Hence, the search of all the

different sequences encoding different polypeptides or vice versa would require extensive

searching and review in the non-patent and patent literature databases.

Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this restriction requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

COMPANY: TO: peter reddig

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/685,258

Art Unit: 1642

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Reddig whose telephone number is (571) 272-9031. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter J. Reddig, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

PJR

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Susan &

TO: peter reddig COMPANY: